UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,567	07/18/2006	Chris Harrington	A03-04US	6512
26067 HEXCEL COR	7590 08/10/200 PORATION	EXAMINER		
	N BOULEVARD	VO, HAI		
DUBLIN, CA 94568			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/10/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mark.bielawski@hexcel.com djoldenkamp@gmail.com pamela.herwood@hexcel.com

Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s) HARRINGTON ET AL.			
		10/586,5	67				
		Examine	r	Art Unit			
		Hai Vo		1794			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ldress		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN IS IS IN THE MAN IS IN THE	ILING DATE OF T f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nication. utory period will apply and v ill, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION I went, however, may a reply be the vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 25 Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	o)∏ This action is or allowance excep	t for formal matters, pr		e merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	Claim(s) 7-18 and 24-30 is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 7,9-18 and 24-30 is/are reject Claim(s) 8 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restricti on Papers The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing spect(s) including the specification is claim to the specification of the specification is objected to by the specificant may not request that any object	e withdrawn from contents. Sted. on and/or election Examiner. a) \(\sum \) accepted or begin to the drawing(s)	onsideration. requirement.)□ objected to by the be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	FR 1 121(d)		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2)	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	O-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 1794

1. The 112 claim rejections are maintained.

2. The art rejections have been withdrawn in view of the present amendment.
Creighton does not teach or suggest a film adhesive wherein a curative component is separated from uncured resin component during storage of the film at the ambient temperature.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on April 30, 2009 was filed after the mailing date of the Office Action on February 10, 2009. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 7, 9-18, and 24-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The spatial relationship of a porous body, an uncured resin component and a curative component is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claims is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). The uncured resin is converted to a low viscosity material when heated to curing temperature to provide a rapid flow into the porous body. The relative position of the uncured resin and the curative component within or on the

Art Unit: 1794

porous body is essential for adequate mixing of the uncured resin with curative component and penetration into the porous body (paragraphs 1 and 11 of the specification of the present invention). These special features are not reflected in the claims.

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 7, 9-18, and 24-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim appears to be grammatically ambiguous so as not to clearly and accurately convey the spatial relationship of the porous body, the uncured resin component, the removable reaction barrier and the curative component. The current phraseology is unclear as to how these three claimed elements are arranged. The scope becomes unclear since it is not determinable what structure can fall within the scope of the claim. Various interpretations, including some radically different arrangements are possible but do not seem within the scope of the disclosed invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. None of the prior art taken alone or in combination teach or suggest the composite material having a recited structure set forth in the claim.

Art Unit: 1794

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1485. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, from 9:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Callie Shosho can be reached on (571) 272-1123. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hai Vo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794